

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,864	03/29/2004	Jody H. Pattie	03-0208 (BOE 0471 PA)	2863
44702	7590 04/07/2006		EXAMINER	
OSTRAGER CHONG FLAHERTY & BROITMAN PC			RADI, JOHN A	
	250 PARK AVENUE, SUITE 825 NEW YORK, NY 10177		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3641	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/708,864	PATTIE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	John A. Radi	3641		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time 17 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Fe 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-22 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9, 23-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed are all accomposed and are all accomposed and are all all accomposed and are all all all all all all all all all al	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Applicant's Remarks, filed February 2, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-9, and 23-35 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Dean et al (US 6164507) further in view of Bach (US 2375513).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3641

Claims 1-9, and 23-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dean et al (US 6164507) in view of Bach (US 2375513).

Dean teaches a rail (20), at least one pair of support brackets (plural 28) coupled to rail positioned to support proximal surface (col 4 lines 16-20); and at leat one flexible band (84) for distributing a clamping load substantially across a distal surface (fig 1, as the band conforms to the distal surface of object being supported); wherein the support brackets (28) has a support portion (fig 2, 62, 64) for distributing said clamping load across proximal surface of object being supported.

Dean doesn't teach the use of a grooved rail, but rather a curved tube along which the brackets clamp, nor does Dean teach supporting a duct. Bach and Dean are in the same field of endeavor, being adjustable mounting systems, and Bach teaches the use of a grooved rail along which the brackets ride and the support of pipes or ducts. The motivation for combining Bach and Dean would be to provide for an adjustable mounting system that has support against torsional movement along the track. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to substitute a grooved track for the tube to create a selectively engageable gripping mounting device.

With respect to claims 2 and 3, the rail of Bach has a series of openings (21, 22) for passing a series of fasteners through (fig 7).

With respect to claim 4, wherein each support bracket (28) has at least one notch (108, 102) and aperture (110) for passing the flexible ban d(32) through.

Art Unit: 3641

With respect to claims 5, 6, 27 and 28, regarding manufacturing process of brackets and rails, the method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, these limitations have not been given patentable weight.

With respect to claim 7, wherein the bracket has a predetermined radius (fig 3).

With respect to claim 8, regarding a flange interacting with the rail, Bach teaches the use of flanges (6, 8) interacting with a rail (19), the motivation to combine as described above with respect to claim 1.

With respect to claim 9, wherein the flexible band is made of a woven fabric belt member (col 5, lines 36-38).

With respect to claims 23-35, regarding a plurality of ducts, Dean can be used to support multiple parallel surfaces along the beam (24), and Bach can also be used to support multiple pipes or ducts along the same track (see figures 19 and 23).

Furthermore, regarding an airframe with a plurality of ducts supported by said system, the first paragraph of applicant's discussion of the background of the invention discloses that "aircraft manufacturers are well known for producing aircrafts having HVAC systems... typically comprised of a series of cylindrical tubing or ducts... [typically requiring] a plurality of support assemblies for mounting each support assembly to the airframe of the aircraft." The motivation for using Dean in an airframe can be found in Dean which teaches a method for supporting a structure which has a selectively engageable gripping surface, and which can be adjusted to support a wide array of various sizes and shapes (col 5 lines 23-27). Therefore it would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/708,864 Page 5

Art Unit: 3641

one skilled in the art at the time of invention to use a support system as taught by Dean and modified by Bach within an aircraft's airframe.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Radi whose telephone number is 571-272-5883. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John A. Radi Patent Examiner Art Unit 3641

Michael J. Carone

Woodraw Elghad

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 3641

Art Unit 3641

Page 6